

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,394	10/31/2003	Hildeberto Nava	5458-118DVCT2	4672	
20792	7590 08/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428			HON, SO	HON, SOW FUN	
	EIGH. NC 27627		- ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055 4 41 0	10/622,394 NAVA, HILDEBERTO) \ \				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit ($\neg \lor \uparrow$				
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772	$\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle \mathcal{I}$				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABF.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comn	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-53</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •	1.121(d).				
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Sta	age				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	a a a lua d					
ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not re	:ceivea.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/18/03</u> .	6) Other:		· - ,				

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

- 1. This application presents a claim for subject matter not originally claimed or embraced in the statement of the invention. Claim 40 contains the limitation "wherein said surface is an electronic device or an integrated circuit device". This is not taught or even suggested in the specification which is the same as the one filed with the parent application 09/519,924.
- 2. A supplemental oath or declaration is required under 37 CFR 1.67. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The application should be redesignated as a continuation-in-part.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 37, 39, 41-45, 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-2, 4-5,7-16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,692,802. This is a double

Art Unit: 1772

1,51

patenting rejection. The term "surface", in the present claims, is synonymous with the term "substrate", in '802.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 38, 46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 3, 5-6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,692,802 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The term "conduit" in claims 3, 6 of '802 brings to mind a rounded, or "contoured surface" as recited in claims 38 and 46 of the present application. The term "lined substrate" in broader claims 1, 5 of '802 encompasses a "flat surface" recited as the other component of the Markush group in claims 28 and 46 of the present application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 1772

7. Claim 40 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3, 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,692,802 in view of JP 08311143A (Derwent Abstract 1997-061840).

'143 teaches that polycarbodiimide resin compositions are used for the protection of electronic parts and pipes from water and corrosion. The equivalence of electronic parts with pipes, also known as conduits, is thus demonstrated with respect to the need for protection by polycarbodiimide resins from water and corrosion.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified claims 3, 6 of '802 with '143 in order to obtain another application for the polycarbodiimide resin composition of '802.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 9. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no description in the specification as to how or why the electronic device or integrated circuit device is to be coated with the polycarbodiimide composition.

Art Unit: 1772

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sow-Fun Hon

06/15/04